

Graham Priest on validity



... the notion of validity that comes out of the orthodox account is a strangely perverse one according to which any rule whose conclusion is a logical truth is valid and, conversely, any rule whose premises contain a contradiction is valid. By a process that does not fall far short of indoctrination most logicians have now had their sensibilities dulled to these glaring anomalies. However, this is possible only because logicians have also forgotten that logic is a normative subject: it is supposed to provide an account of correct reasoning. When seen in this light the full force of these absurdities can be appreciated. Anyone who actually reasoned from an arbitrary premise to, e.g., the infinity of prime numbers, would not last long in an undergraduate mathematics course. ("Two Dogmas of Quineanism," *Philosophical Quarterly* 29 (1979), 297.)